

## Objective Lens

The invention relates to an objective lens and, particularly, although not exclusively, to an objective lens for use in reading dual layer optical disks.

Dual layer optical disks comprise a first cover layer above a first information layer, and below this a second cover layer and second information layer. The thickness of the first and second cover layers introduces a degree of spherical aberration in the reading/writing of such disks by any given objective lens. For dual layer blu-ray disks (BD) and small form factor optical (SFFO) read out, a blue laser (405 nm wavelength) and a high numerical aperture objective lens (NA=0.85) is employed and these two factors (short wavelength/ high NA) tend to make the spherical aberration problems particularly acute.

In the prior art, a preferred way to achieve spherical aberration correction relies on changing the vergence of the beam entering the objective lens. This change in vergence gives rise to an amount of spherical aberration generation by the objective lens. By a proper choice of vergence change, the lowest order spherical aberration arising at the disk due to a change in cover layer thickness can thus be compensated for (i.e. cancelled out) by the additional aberration generated at the lens.

However, a change in vergence alone does not provide adequate spherical aberration compensation in a dual layer disk arrangement because of the fact that there are two different effective cover layer thicknesses involved. With the above in mind, a compromise is sought in which the objective lens is arranged to provide a minimal amount of spherical aberration which is evenly distributed so that scanning of the first and second information layers may be carried out on equal terms.

In the most recent prior art the European application No. 756273 this was attempted by providing an objective lens designed for an optimal performance when scanning a notional (non-existent) layer situated at a depth exactly between the first and second information layers.

It is an aim of preferred embodiments of the invention to determine parameters for the design of an objective lens with a view to optimising aspects of objective lens design and optical scanning devices for dual layer disks.

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided an objective lens for use with a multi-layer optical information carrier having at least a first, top, information layer at a depth D1 below an entrance surface of the carrier and a second, bottom, information layer at a depth D2, greater than depth D1, characterized in that the objective lens is optimized to produce substantially equal amounts of higher order spherical wavefront aberration when scanning the first and second information layers by designing said objective lens to provide minimum spherical aberration at a depth  $D_{opt}$  which is located between an average layer depth  $D_{AV} = (D1 + D2)/2$  and a depth  $(D1 + D_{AV})/2$ . Preferably, the objective lens is optimized to produce substantially equal amounts of higher order spherical wavefront aberration when scanning the first and second information layers by designing said objective lens to provide minimum spherical aberration at a depth  $D_{opt}$  which is less than  $0.995 D_{AV}$  and preferably less than  $0.99 D_{AV}$ .

Preferably, the objective lens is arranged, in use, such that when scanning the first information layer a radiation beam from a light source is convergent on entry to the objective lens, whilst when scanning the second information layer the radiation beam is divergent on entry.

The invention also includes an optical scanning device for optically scanning a multi-layer optical information carrier and employing the objective lens of the first aspect.

Preferred features of the objective lens and scanning device are set out in the dependent claims.

For a better understanding of the invention, and to show how embodiments of the same may be carried into effect, reference will now be made, by way of example, to the accompanying diagrammatic drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows an optical recording light path;

Figures 2A-C shows a dual layer disk, objective lens and optical beam in which Figure 2A shows focusing on an "averaged" depth, 2B focusing on an information layer at depth D1 and 2C focusing on an information layer at depth D2;

Figure 3 shows an objective lens of a first type to which the invention may be applied; and

Figure 4 shows an objective lens of a second type to which the invention may be applied.

As an example of an apparatus to which embodiments of the invention may be applied, an optical scanning device is depicted in Figure 1. Such an optical scanning device comprises a radiation source 101 for producing an optical beam 102, a collimator type lens 103, a beam splitter 104, a servo controlled objective lens 105, detecting means 106, measuring means 107 and a controller 108. This optical scanning device is intended for scanning a dual layer information carrier 110. The optical scanning device further comprises a rotating turntable and spindle 120 for receiving the information carrier 110.

The information carrier 110 comprises a first information layer 111 closest to an entrance face 113 thereof and a second information layer 112 further away from the entrance face 113. The first information layer 111 is protected by a first cover layer C1, whilst the first and second information layers are separated from each other by a second cover layer C2.

The arrangement of collimator type lens 103 and objective lens 105 allows scanning in two different modes. In a first mode (shown in figure 1) the collimator type lens 103 is axially positioned so as to provide a slightly divergent beam to an entrance face of the objective lens 105 for scanning the second information layer 112. In the second mode (not shown), the axial position of the collimator type lens 103 is changed to provide a slightly convergent beam to the objective lens 105 for scanning the first information layer 111.

During any given scanning operation, which can be a writing operation, an erase operation or a reading operation, the beam 102 is transformed by the collimator type lens 103 and the objective lens 105 operating in either the first or second mode, and the information carrier 110 is scanned by the resulting beam. The radiation beam reflected back by the information carrier 110 is passed to the detecting means 106 via beam splitter 104.

From the radiation beam passed to the detecting means 106 a focus error signal and a tracking error signal are derivable, in addition to any information content read from the carrier 110.

The magnitude of the focus error signal corresponds to an error in axial positioning of the scanning beam on the information layer. This focus error signal is used to correct the axial position of the objective lens 105. Such correction is achieved by sending a signal to the controller 108, which drives an actuator (not shown) to move the objective lens 105 axially.

The tracking error signal is used to correct the lateral position of the objective lens 105 in order to keep the focused spot on the information track.

The collimator type lens 103 is an optical element placed between the radiation source 101 and the objective lens 105. As already discussed above, in the first scanning mode (illustrated in Figure 1), the collimator type lens 103 converts the radiation beam 102 into a diverging entrance beam, which reaches the objective lens 105, whilst in the second mode, it converts the radiation beam 102 into a converging entrance beam. When the collimator type lens 103 is in a position such that the radiation source 101 is at its focal point, it acts as a true collimator and converts the radiation beam 102 into a parallel entrance beam. In order to obtain a diverging entrance beam for the first mode, the collimator type lens 103 is moved towards the radiation source 102, compared to the truly collimating position. In order to obtain a converging entrance beam for the second mode, the collimator type lens 103 is moved away from the radiation source 102, compared to the true collimating position. The position of the collimator 103 is controlled by an actuator, which is controlled by the controller 108. The controller 108 is a multi-purpose controller that can control independently the actuator for moving the objective lens 105 and the actuator for moving the collimator 103. Depending on the scanning mode, the controller 108 controls the position of the collimator 103.

The objective lens 105 is designed in such a way that, when it focuses a parallel entrance beam on a certain plane located between the first and second information layers of the information carrier 110, no spherical aberration is present in the plane of the focal point of the beam. As a consequence, if the first information layer 111 were scanned with a parallel entrance beam, spherical aberration would occur. By changing the conjugate distance during scanning of the first information layer 111, i.e. employing a diverging entrance beam, the objective lens 105 may be arranged to itself give rise to an amount of spherical aberration, which substantially compensates the abovementioned spherical aberration caused by the change in cover layer thickness between the first and second information layers.

In general, an objective lens used for optical recording complies substantially with the Abbe Sine condition. Such a lens will give rise to an amount of spherical aberration  $W_{\text{conj}}$  when changing the image conjugate distance which is related to the distance change and also to the numerical aperture. The amount of spherical aberration introduced at the disk  $W_{\text{disk}}$  due to a change in cover layer thickness is also related to the thickness and the numerical aperture. By changing the object conjugate also the image conjugate distance changes. By a proper choice of image conjugate distance change, the additional amount of

spherical aberration caused when switching from one information layer to the other can be compensated by the amount generated in the objective by the conjugate change.

According to the above, it is possible to make the spherical aberration amount caused by a change in conjugate image distance cancel out the lowest order spherical aberration caused by a change  $\Delta d$  in cover layer thickness. A certain amount of higher order spherical wavefront aberration remains.

From the above it can be noted that whilst the cover layer thicknesses may be fixed on dual layer disks in accordance with pre-existing standards, such a spherical aberration optimisation may be achieved by an appropriate change in the conjugate object distance selected in accordance with given disk parameters.

Depending on the direction of the object conjugate change, the NA will increase or decrease. When the NA increases the system tolerance becomes more sensitive and higher order spherical aberration increases. Here, it is to be noted that lower order spherical aberration due to a change in cover layer thickness in one direction will become larger than for the same change in cover layer thickness in the opposite direction.

Referring now to Figures 2A-C, there is shown in more detail, the objective lens 105 and the disk 110 with first layer 111 L1 at a depth D1 below the entrance surface of the carrier 110 and second layer 112 L2 at a depth D2 below the entrance surface.

In Figure 2A, a parallel beam is shown entering the objective lens 105 which is assumed to have been optimised for minimum (preferably zero) spherical aberration for a hypothetical layer Lav 113 at a depth  $D_{av}$  ( where  $D_{av} = 0.5(D1+D2)$ ) and parallel entrance beam. The object conjugate distance is infinite.

Considering now the lens set-up of Figure 2B, to focus on the, closest, first information layer L1 111 at depth D1, the incoming beam to the objective lens 105 must be made to be converging slightly (rather than parallel). In view of this, the numerical aperture of the beam on the image side increases.

Considering now the lens set-up of Figure 2C, to focus on the, farthest away, second information layer L2 112 at depth D2, the incoming beam to the objective lens 105 must be made to be diverging. In view of this, the numerical aperture of the beam on the image side decreases.

We define an optimal (notional) layer  $L_{opt}$  for which the objective lens and disk combination should have zero aberration as being at a depth  $D_{opt}$ . This notional layer  $L_{opt}$  could reasonably be expected by the skilled man to lie exactly between layers L1 and L2, i.e

at depth  $D_{av}$ . The inventors however have surprisingly and counter-intuitively found it to lay closer to L1 than to L2.

With the above in mind, it will be evident that an objective lens designed to have zero spherical aberration for a disk with a cover layer depth extending to a position exactly in the middle of the two layers of a dual layer disk contrary to intuition will therefore not give rise to the optimal compromise design for reading the dual layer disk. Going from a first (upper) of the two layers to the second (lower), the NA will decrease leading to a lower value of the residual higher order aberration, whilst going from the second (lower) to the first (upper) layer the NA increases and gives rise to a higher value of the residual higher order aberrations.

In accordance with the above then, it has been discovered by the inventors that since higher order aberrations are more than linearly proportional to the NA, the optimal nominal layer depth for which the objective needs to be designed in order to provide the best compromise for reading a given dual layer disk, should deviate from a depth positioned at the centre of the two layers and should more properly lie to one side of the average depth, slightly closer to the uppermost information layer.

It has been found that the cover layer thickness  $D_{opt}$  corresponding to a cover layer depth at which the objective lens should be designed to give zero spherical aberration should differ from the average thickness  $D_{av}$  of the two cover layer thicknesses corresponding to the two information layers of the dual layer disk and should comply with the relation

$$\frac{|D_{opt} - D_{av}|}{D_{av}} > 0.005 \quad (1)$$

More preferred it should comply with:

$$\frac{|D_{opt} - D_{av}|}{D_{av}} > 0.01 \quad (2)$$

From the above inequalities, it can be seen that  $D_{opt}$  differs from the average thickness  $D_{av}$  of the two cover layer thickness corresponding to the positions of the two information layers of the dual layer disk. Preferably the thickness should differ from this average thickness by more than 0.5% of  $D_{av}$ . Even more preferred, the thickness should differ from this average thickness by more than 1.0% of  $D_{av}$ .

A pair of examples illustrating the above surprising results are given below.

### Examples

#### Example 1

In the first embodiment an objective lens 305 for optical recording at 405nm wavelengths has a numerical aperture, NA, of 0.85. The entrance pupil diameter EP measures 1.0 mm. The free working distance (FWD) is 0.141 mm between a forward end of the lens 305 and the entrance surface of an information carrier.

5 The objective lens 305 shown in Figure 3 is made of a truncated glass sphere 307, made of S-LAH66 Ohara glass, with a thin aspheric layer 309 of diacryl on the spherical side. The refractive index of the diacryl is 1.5987 and that of S-LAH66 is 1.7991. The thickness of the truncated sphere is 0.694 mm and the radius is 0.54mm. The thickness of this diacryl layer along the optical axis is 0.0165mm. The rotational symmetric aspherical shape  
10 of the surface of lens 305 facing the collimator lens (not shown) is given by the equation:

$$z(r) = \sum_{i=1}^6 B_{2i} r^{2i}$$

with z the position of the surface in the direction of the optical axis in millimetres, r the distance to the optical axis in millimetres, and  $B_k$  the coefficient of the k-th power of r. The value of the coefficients  $B_2$  to  $B_{12}$  are 1.094507, 0.64448149, 0.064744348,  
15 2.3410448, -12.999302, -6.8309113, respectively. The design thickness of the optimal layer  $L_{opt}$  of the disk is 0.1 mm. The disk 310 is made of Polycarbonate with refractive index 1.6223.

In table 1 the cover layer thicknesses D for a dual layer disk both larger and smaller than this optimal 100 $\mu$ m thickness and found to give rise to substantially the same  
20 amount of residual higher order wavefront aberration (root mean square of the optical path difference (OPD)) are listed. Also the corresponding conjugate object distance L is given (positive value means diverging beam entering the objective lens) as well as the NA change.

D [micron]	L [mm]	NA	OPD [m $\lambda$ ]
110.0	54.95	0.848	29.9
91.4	-66.21	0.851	29.7

25 Table 1.

From table 1 it follows that  $D_{av}$ =100.7 micron, while the optimal layer depth is 100 micron. Thus,  $D_{opt}$  is 0.993  $D_{av}$ .

### Example 2

Consider the singlet plastic SFFO lens 405 of Figure 4, which is optimized for  
30 100 $\mu$ m cover layer thickness.

In the second embodiment the objective lens 405 for optical recording at 405nm wavelengths has a numerical aperture, NA, of 0.85. The entrance pupil diameter EP measures 1.0 mm. The free working distance (FWD) is 0.15 mm between a forward end of the lens 405 and a recording carrier 410.

The objective lens, shown illustratively in Figure 4, is made of COC (cyclo-olefine\_copolymer). The refractive index of COC is 1.5499. The thickness of the lens along the optical axis is 0.915 mm. The rotational symmetric aspherical shape of the surface 407 facing the collimator lens is given by the equation:

$$z(r) = \sum_{i=1}^8 B_{2i} \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{2i}$$

with z the position of the surface in the direction of the optical axis in millimetres, r the distance to the optical axis in millimetres,  $r_0$  is the normalisation radius in millimeters, and  $B_k$  the coefficient of the k-th power of r. The normalisation radius for the surface facing the collimator is  $r_0=0.5$  mm. The value of the coefficients  $B_2$  to  $B_{16}$  are 0.25, 0.029450632, 0.015158439, -0.30922007, 1.356098, -2.5402456, 2.2389527 and -0.7750968, respectively. The surface 409 facing the disk 410 is given by the same formula but with now  $r_0=0.25$  mm. The value of the coefficients  $B_2$  to  $B_{16}$  are -0.088581439, 0.081735809, -0.063652986, 0.022340965, 0.00054096427, -0.0033723519, 0.0011206103 and -0.00012497701, respectively. The design thickness of the optimal layer  $L_{opt}$  of the disk is 0.1 mm. The disk is made of Polycarbonate with refractive index 1.6223.

The properties are listed in table 2.

D [micron]	L [mm]	NA	OPD [mλ]
115.0	53.39	0.848	20.4
87.7	-63.45	0.853	20.5

Table 2.

From table 2 it follows that  $D_{av}=101.4$  micron, while the optimal layer depth is  $100\mu\text{m}$ . Thus,  $D_{opt}$  is  $0.986 D_{av}$ .

The above worked out examples indicate that for given cover layer thicknesses between information layers of a dual-layer disk, the optimum lens design may be found by selecting an objective lens which is optimised to provide minimum spherical aberration at a depth  $D_{opt}$  which lies close to an average distance between an upper information layer and a lower information layer, but which deviates from this average distance to lie toward the



upper layer. Usable values of  $D_{opt}$  for objective lens designs used with reading blu-ray type disks or similar in high NA systems will lie between this near average depth and a depth  $(D1 + D_{AV})/2$ .

5 The invention can be used in optical recording systems employing multi-layer disks, requiring spherical aberration correction, and are not restricted to dual layer disks alone. The invention has however been found to have particular utility for BD and SFFO arrangements. In particular this invention is especially relevant for systems having high-NA and small focal length such as SFFO objectives.

Although the objective lens is shown as a single element, it may also be composed of more than one element. Furthermore, the lens may comprise gratings or phase structures.